

**$K_2(1820)$** 

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$$

See our mini-review in the 2004 edition of this *Review* (PDG 04) under  $K_2(1770)$ .

 **$K_2(1820)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>1819 \pm 12</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1853 $\pm 27^{+18}_{-35}$	4289	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
1816 $\pm 13$		<sup>2</sup> ASTON	93 LASS	$11K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$\sim 1840$		<sup>3</sup> DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
<sup>1</sup> From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 3.0 $\sigma$ .				
<sup>2</sup> From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- \omega$ system.				
<sup>3</sup> From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- 2\pi$ system.				

 **$K_2(1820)$  WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>264 \pm 34</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
167 $\pm 58^{+82}_{-72}$	4289	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$
276 $\pm 35$		<sup>2</sup> ASTON	93 LASS	$11K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$\sim 230$		<sup>3</sup> DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
<sup>1</sup> From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ with a significance of 3.0 $\sigma$ .				
<sup>2</sup> From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- \omega$ system.				
<sup>3</sup> From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- 2\pi$ system.				

 **$K_2(1820)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $K\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2$ $K_2^*(1430)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $K^*(892)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $Kf_2(1270)$	seen
$\Gamma_5$ $K\omega$	seen
$\Gamma_6$ $K\phi$	seen

 **$K_2(1820)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$\sim 0.77$	DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}2\pi p$

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$  $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
~ 0.05	DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}2\pi p$

 $\Gamma(K f_2(1270))/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$  $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
~ 0.18	DAUM	81C CNTR	$63K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}2\pi p$

 $\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>seen</b>	24k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	21E LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
seen	4289	<sup>2,3</sup> AAIJ	17C LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$
<sup>1</sup> From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 5.8 $\sigma$ . <sup>2</sup> From an amplitude analysis of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ with a significance of 3.0 $\sigma$ . <sup>3</sup> Superseded by AAIJ 21E.				

**K<sub>2</sub>(1820) REFERENCES**

AAIJ	21E	PRL 127 082001	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
AAIJ	17C	PRL 118 022003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
Also		PR D95 012002	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ASTON	93	PL B308 186	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)